

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,420	10/14/2004	Andreas Derr .	WEM-07801	2153
	590 04/10/2007 ND SATURNELLI, LLC	•	EXAMINER	
200 FRIBERG P	ARKWAY, SUITE 1001		NGUYEN, D	ONGHAI D
WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	04/10/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,420	DERR, ANDREAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 April 2005</u> .						
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,23,29 and 32-34</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22,25-28,30 and 31</u> is/are objected	awn from consideration.  I. ed to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examin</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin</li> </ul>	e: a)  accepted or b)  oe drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in A cority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/28/05.	5)  Notice of I 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3729

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1-4 having character of lines, numbers and letters not uniformly thick, clean and well defined. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the

Art Unit: 3729

printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation: "electrode wires sheathed with extruded plastic" (claim 1, lines 4-5 and 13 and claim 20) was not described in the specification.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3729

The claims 1-17 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform to current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. The use of "its" (claim 1, lines 7 and 15 and claim 8, lines 3, etc.) is confusing. It's suggested that Applicants explicitly spell out the intended claimed limitations. The use of "and/or" (claim 9, lines 3, claim 12, line 2 and claims 17, lines 2-3) are indefinite and confusing because it's not certain as to which limitations or combinations being referred to.

Further, claims 1-17 are method for manufacturing a probe. However there is no positive steps that disclose how the probe being manufacturing.

"electrode wires sheathed with extruded plastic" (claim 1, lines 4-5 and 13 and claim 20) is vague and indefinite sine such limitation was not disclosed in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 18, 19, 23, 23, 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,128,468 to Bukamier.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Bukamier discloses a measuring probe made by a manufacturing method, comprising: providing a receptacle device (plugs 40 and 48), wherein a first electrode wire protrudes (50) out of the receptacle device and a first end of said first

Art Unit: 3729

electrode wire is attached to the receptacle device; positioning a tube (glass tube 20) over a second end of said first electrode wire until the tube comes in contact with a recess in the receptacle device (see Fig. 2); providing a base plate (46) having a recess (which the receptacle device 48 and tube 20 inserted therein), wherein a second electrode wire (56) protrudes out of the base plate and a first end of said second electrode wire is attached to the base plate; providing a sheathing (10) having a first opening in the shape of said base late and a second opening in the shape of said tube; sealing the sheathing and base plate together to form a housing; and pushing the tube toward the recess in the base plate, wherein the tube protrudes out of said second opening in the sheathing and the receptacle device is in contact with the base plate (see Fig. 1-5).

Regarding claim 23, Bukamier discloses an electrolyte liquid is added to the housing after sealing the base plate and the sheathing (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 24, Bukamier discloses the first electrode (20) is formed by adding an electrolyte liquid into the tube (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 29, Bukamier discloses he first electrode and the second electrode are glued or welded onto the housing or extruded with the housing (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 32, Bukamier discloses parts of the first and second electrode wires disposed inside the housing are at least partially chlorinated (50/52 see fig. 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3729

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bukamier.

Bukamier does not teach parts of the first electrode wire are at least partially gold plated and the base plate, the sheathing or the receptacle device is plastic mold and extruded. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to gold plated the electrode wires and plastic mold/extruded the base plate, the sheathing or the receptacle device since it was well known in the art to partially gold plate the electrode wire for providing a good electrical contact and prevent oxidizing of the silver wire and plastic mold/extruded the base plate, the sheathing or the receptacle device for simplifying the manufacture of the desired structure.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Claims 2-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 20-22, 25-28, 30-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3729

## Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teachings of measuring probes and method of making the same.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-4566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571)-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN

April 2, 2007

Examiner:/Donghai D. Nguyen

Page 7